Two-dimensional solitons in nonlinear lattices
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We address the existence and stability of two-dimensional solitons in optical or matter-wave media, which are supported by purely nonlinear lattices in the form of a periodic array of cylinders with self-focusing nonlinearity, embedded into a linear material. We show that such lattices can stabilize two-dimensional solitons against collapse. We also found that stable multipoles and vortex solitons are also supported by nonlinear lattices, provided that the nonlinearity exhibits saturation. © 2009 Optical Society of America
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The creation of stable two-dimensional (2D) solitons and vortex solitons in optical media and Bose–Einstein condensates (BECs) with cubic nonlinearity is a well-known challenge, because collapse as well as azimuthal instability of vortices are inherent features of 2D settings [1]. It was shown experimentally in photorefractive crystals [2–4] and predicted for cubic (Kerr) media [5–8] that the stabilization of 2D solitons and vortices may be provided by periodic potentials (optical lattices). A different stabilization mechanism makes use of nonlinear lattices [9], i.e., a spatially transverse periodic modulation of the nonlinearity. In BECs such a setting is achieved by means of the Feshbach resonance induced by nonuniform magnetic fields [10]. In optics the concept may be implemented with suitable combinations of different materials. Solitons supported by 1D nonlinear lattices [11–13], as well as by combinations of linear and nonlinear ones [14–18], have been studied in detail. However, stabilization of 2D solitons and vortices in nonlinear lattices remains a challenge. Attempts to find stable solitons in nonlinear radial lattices defined similar to their linear counterparts [19,20] have failed; the only positive result reported thus far showed stable axisymmetric solitons supported by a cylinder with focusing nonlinearity, embedded in a linear or defocusing nonlinear medium [21].

In this Letter we report the existence of stable 2D solitons in a lattice composed of focusing cylinders surrounded by a linear medium with the same refractive index, i.e., a purely nonlinear periodic lattice. We show that Kerr lattices of this type support stable fundamental solitons, while stability of multipoles and vortices requires saturation of the nonlinearity. Both the mechanism and the results are different from those encountered in models with linear lattices. Note that a crucial difference between linear and nonlinear lattices is their nature at low powers, where the former behave as a medium with a periodic refractive index while the latter remains a homogeneous medium. This has both practical and fundamental implications; in particular solitons in nonlinear lattices do not bifurcate from linear Bloch waves and thus exhibit different cutoff properties as described below.

The evolution of a light beam or matter-wave packet in a medium with a nonlinear lattice is governed by the nonlinear Schrödinger equation for field amplitude $q$,

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \zeta^2} \right) + \sigma(\eta, \zeta) \frac{q|q|^2}{1 + S|q|^2},$$

(1)

where $\xi$ is the propagation distance in optics or time in the case of BEC and $\eta, \zeta$ are the transverse coordinates. The local nonlinearity coefficient is $\sigma = -1$ inside each cylinder of radius $w_\rho$, and $\sigma = 0$ between them, while $S \geq 0$ accounts for the saturation of the focusing nonlinearity. The cylinders form a square array with spacing $w_s$ along axes $\eta$ and $\zeta$. We fix the transverse scales by setting $w_s = 1$ and vary spacing $w_\rho$. Obviously, $w_\rho > 2$ and $\sqrt{2} \leq w_\rho < 2$ pertain, respectively, to separated cylinders and partly overlapping ones; the limiting case $w_\rho = \sqrt{2}$ corresponds to the uniform focusing medium.

We search for soliton solutions to Eq. (1) with propagation constant/chemical potential $b$ as $q = w(\eta, \zeta) \exp(i b \xi)$, where $w$ is real for fundamental solitons or multipoles and complex for vortices. For the linear stability analysis, perturbed solutions were taken as $q = [w + u \exp(\delta \xi) + iv \exp(\delta \xi)] \exp(i b \xi)$. In the case of real $w$, this substitution leads to a linear problem for perturbation modes $(u, v)$ and eigenvalues $\delta = \delta_r + i \delta_i$,

$$\delta u = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \zeta^2} \right) + bu + \sigma(\eta, \zeta) w^2 + Sw^4,
\quad (1 + Sw^2)^2,$$

$$\delta v = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \zeta^2} \right) - bu - \sigma(\eta, \zeta) w^2 + Sw^4,
\quad (1 + Sw^2)^2.$$

(2)
Generic examples of fundamental solitons supported by the nonlinear lattice are shown in Fig. 1, and properties of their families are summarized in Fig. 2. In contrast to uniform cubic media ($\sigma=-1$, $S=0$), where for the unstable Townes soliton one has $U_T=5.85$ [the dashed line in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)], the power of solitons supported by the nonlinear lattice is a nonmonotonous function of $b$ [Fig. 2(a)].

The nonmonotonous dependence $U(b)$ suggests that the nonlinear lattice may stabilize the fundamental solitons against collapse in accordance with the Vakhitov–Kolokolov (VK) criterion. Indeed, a linear stability analysis based on the numerical solution of Eqs. (2) shows that the part of the fundamental-soliton family with $dU/db>0$ is linearly stable [Fig. 2(c) shows that $\delta_b$ vanishes exactly at the point where $dU/db$ changes its sign]. In terms of $b$, the instability domain located near the low-amplitude cutoff is narrowest when $w_s=\infty$, and, as expected on intuitive grounds, it expands as $w_s\to \sqrt{2}$, as solitons are unstable in 2D uniform cubic media. These findings represent the first example of stable 2D solitons in a purely nonlinear lattice. Inclusion of nonlinearity saturation ($S>0$) results in a substantial expansion of the stability domain for the fundamental solitons even at $w_s=\sqrt{2}$, as a collapse is absent in uniform media with $S>0$. At $S>0$ there also exists an upper cutoff, where the soliton power diverges [Fig. 2(d)]. This cutoff is chiefly determined by the radius of the cylinders forming the nonlinear lattice.

Besides fundamental solitons, the nonlinear lattice also supports multipole and vortex states. Generic examples of such solitons are shown in Figs. 1(c)–1(f). In particular, for dipoles the mutual repulsion between out-of-phase constituents is compensated by their trapping in the self-induced nonlinear lattice. One can see [Fig. 1(c)] that the maxima of the constituents forming the dipole are slightly shifted from centers of the cylinders where they are trapped. This effect is almost negligible at high powers [Fig. 1(d)].

The simplest vortex solitons in nonlinear lattices, which we call off-site states by analogy with linear lattices, as they place the center between nonlinear cylinders, feature four intensity peaks [Figs. 1(e) and 1(f)], and expand across the lattice in the small-amplitude limit. We have found that, for the purely cubic nonlinearity, all dipoles, multipoles, and vortices are unstable, but they are readily stabilized by the saturation. Typical $U(b)$ dependencies for dipole and vortex solitons are shown in Fig. 3(a). Both types feature the threshold (minimum) power necessary for
their existence (naturally, the minimum power for vortices exceeds that for dipoles, which, in turn, is higher than for the fundamental solitons). The upper cutoff of the existence domain, where the power diverges, is identical for all types of solitons, as it is determined by the divergence of the power of the fundamental solitons, including those that form the complexes. Domains of oscillatory instabilities, corresponding to complex $\delta_i/b$ and $\delta_r/b$ dependencies, were found for both dipoles and vortices in the low-amplitude limit (see Figs. 3(b) and 3(c) for the corresponding $\delta_i(b)$ dependencies) when, as said above, they expand across the lattice (Figs. 1(c) and 1(e)). As the localization strengthens, the dipoles and the vortices get stable above a critical value of $b$. At equal values of $w_s$, the width of the instability domain for the vortices is substantially larger than for the dipoles. For both types, the instability domain rapidly shrinks with an increase of $w_s$, as a larger separation between cylinders results in weaker interactions between constituents forming the complexes. Other types of higher-order soliton states, such as on-site vortices and quadrupoles, may also be stable in the saturable nonlinear lattice.

We conclude by stressing differences between solitons supported by purely nonlinear and linear (or combined) lattices. Since nonlinear lattices do not feature a bandgap spectrum, low-power solitons do not transform into Bloch waves, thus their cutoff is always $b=0$. Such a cutoff is identical for both fundamental and higher-order solitons in contrast to linear lattices. Also, while in linear lattices made in media with saturable nonlinearity, high-power solitons expand across the lattice exhibiting multiple oscillations; in the nonlinear lattice high-power solitons remain strongly confined inside the nonlinear domains. Finally, in the nonlinear lattices at high powers solitons do not undergo splitting or any other power-dependent shape transformations that are typical of combined lattices [15,16].
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